

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/458,415		GILLESPIE, KEVIN	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jila M. Mohandesi		3728	

All Participants:

(1) Jila M. Mohandesi.

(2) Brett A. Krueger.

Date of Interview: 9 July 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a)

Claims discussed:

1, 47 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:

Shapiro et al. (US 3,075,305) & Hirasawa (US 3,885,328)

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In lieu of the newly found references, Applicant's attorney gave authorization for an examiner's amendment in order to expedite prosecution and place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



JILA M. MOHANDESI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)